Government's Exhibit A
United States' Sentencing Memorandum
Christopher John Pettit
SA-22-CR-653-OLG-1

```
1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                        WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 3
                          SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
 4
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                 § CRIMINAL NO. 5:22-00653-OLG
 5
                                 § December 13, 2022
     V.
                                 §
 6
                                 §
     CHRISTOPHER PETTIT,
 7
     DEFENDANT.
 8
 9
                REDACTED TRANSCRIPT OF DETENTION HEARING
10
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH CHESTNEY
11
                         MAGISTRATE COURT JUDGE
12
     APPEARANCES:
1.3
     For the Government:
                             ROBERT ALMONTE, AUSA
                              KELLY GRIFFITH STEPHENSON
                              Office of US Attorney
14
                              601 NW Loop 410, Suite 600
                              San Antonio, Texas 78216
15
16
                              MATTHEW T. ALLEN
     For the Defendant:
                              Law Office of Matthew Allen
17
                              310 S. St. Mary's St. #965
                              San Antonio, TX 78205
18
19
                              RON SMEBERG, Bankruptcy Attorney
20
21
22
23
24
     FTR AUDIO RECORDING produced by mechanical stenography;
     computer-aided transcription
25
```

1		INDEX		
2	DIR	ECT CROSS	REDIRECT	RE-CROSS
3	GOVERNMENT WITNESS:			
4	ERICK TERRY 6	21		
5	THOMAS SWEAT 32	49		
6	DEFENDANT'S PROFFER:			
7	BY MR. SMEBERG 58			
8	ARGUMENT BY MR. ALMONTE	62		
9	ARGUMENT BY MR. ALLEN	67		
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

```
1
     (In open court.)
 2
               THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
 3
               THE COURT: You may be seated.
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: United States of America v.
 4
 5
     Christopher Pettit. SA:22-CR-653.
               THE COURT: Good morning. Appearances, please?
 6
 7
               MR. ALMONTE: Good morning, Your Honor. Robert
 8
     Almonte and Kelly Stephenson for the United States. We're
 9
     ready.
               MR. ALLEN: Matthew Allen. And Ron Smeberg is
10
11
    Mr. Pettit's bankruptcy attorney. He's here as well, Your
12
    Honor.
1.3
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And we're proceeding
    on both the arraignment and detention hearing today?
14
15
               MR. ALLEN: Correct, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT:
                          Okay. And any doubt as to your
17
    client's competence?
18
               MR. ALLEN:
                          No, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT:
                          All right. Ms. Sandoval, will you
20
     swear in the defendant, please?
21
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. If could
22
     remain seated and raise your right hand, please.
23
               Do you swear or affirm that the testimony which you
24
    may give to the Court in this case now shall be the truth,
25
     the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
```

```
1
               DEFENDANT PETTIT: Yes, ma'am.
 2
               THE COURT: Mr. Pettit, and anything interfering
 3
     with your ability to understand me today? Any medical or
     mental health issues, medicines, drugs, alcohol?
 4
 5
               DEFENDANT PETTIT: No, Ma'am.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. And you've been given a copy of
 7
     the indictment filed in your case?
 8
               DEFENDANT PETTIT: Yes, Ma'am.
 9
               THE COURT: And you've had a chance to go over it
10
     with your attorney?
11
               DEFENDANT PETTIT: I have.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. And does your client waive my
1.3
     reading that again, Mr. Allen?
14
               MR. ALLEN: Yes, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT:
                          Okay. And would you like to enter a
16
    plea on behalf of your client?
17
               MR. ALLEN: Not guilty, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT:
                           A plea of not guilty will be entered,
19
     and the case will be forwarded on to the district court for
20
     further proceedings. And, Mr. Almonte, just remind the
21
     government of your disclosure obligations under Brady v.
22
    Maryland and its progeny. The failure to comply with those
23
    obligations and this Court's order can result in multiple
     consequences including being held in contempt, evidence being
24
25
     suppressed, the case being delayed, or even charges being
```

```
dismissed.
 1
 2
               MR. ALMONTE: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we'll be
    proceeding on the detention hearing. I do have the
 4
 5
     indictment, of course. I'll take judicial notice of the fact
     that the grand jury has found probable cause on these charged
 6
 7
    offenses.
 8
               I also have the pretrial services report. And I'll
 9
    start by asking if you have any -- well, I guess, first we're
    going to be calling the witness on the -- to start with.
10
11
               MR. ALMONTE: Yes, Your Honor. We'll be -- we
12
     intend to call in two witnesses.
1.3
               THE COURT: Okay. Let's proceed with your first
14
    witness then.
15
               MR. ALMONTE: And Kelly Stephenson will proceed
16
     first, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
18
               MR. STEPHENSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
    government will call Erick Terry.
20
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: If you can raise your right
21
    hand.
22
               Do you swear or affirm that the testimony which you
23
    may give to the Court, in this case now, shall be the truth,
     the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
24
25
               THE WITNESS:
                             Yes, I do.
```

1	THE DEPUTY CLERK: You may be seated.			
2	ERICK TERRY, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, SWORN			
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
4	BY MR. STEPHENSON:			
5	Q Good morning, Mr. Terry.			
6	A Good morning.			
7	Q Would you please provide your full name for the record?			
8	A Erick Terry.			
9	Q And how are you employed?			
10	A I'm a business bankruptcy attorney.			
11	Q And what is your relationship to Mr. Pettit?			
12	A I'm appointed as the Chapter 11 trustee. I was			
13	appointed on June the 16th.			
14	Q And can you give me a little bit of your background as			
15	a bankruptcy trustee and qualifications?			
16	A Sure. I've been a bankruptcy business bankruptcy			
17	attorney for 27 years, and I started doing trustee work in			
18	2020. I've been appointed to over 20 sub-chapter five,			
19	Chapter 11 cases as a sub-chapter five trustee. I've also			
20	been a Chapter Seven trustee, and I'm now an appointed			
21	Chapter 11 trustee in Mr. Pettit's case and also his PC			
22	case.			
23	Q And you were mentioning Chapter Five, Chapter Seven,			
24	Chapter 11. For those of us who aren't that familiar with			
25	bankruptcy, can you give me a high-level overview of the			

1 bankruptcy -- the purpose of the bankruptcy proceeding? 2 Α Sure. Generally speaking, bankruptcy proceedings 3 especially in this context, the liquidation context, the primary purpose is to assemble and gather assets, maximize 4 5 the value of those assets and ultimately distribute those assets to creditors. 6 And so what are the benefits to somebody entering 7 8 bankruptcy, typically? 9 For a debtor entering bankruptcy, an honest debtor 10 entering bankruptcy, that debtor can get breathing room 11 through the automatic stay. There's an injunction in place 12 that prevents collections efforts. An honest debtor can get 1.3 a discharge of its debts. And then, you know, an orderly distribution according to the priority scheme of the 14 15 bankruptcy. Go ahead. And as to the bankruptcy proceedings involving 16 17 Mr. Pettit, who initiated those bankruptcy proceedings? 18 Mr. Pettit voluntarily filed his bankruptcy cases. 19 And can you describe for me your role in Mr. Pettit's 20 bankruptcy? 21 So I've displaced or stepped into the shoes of Mr. Pettit individually, in his individual estate and also 22 23 in his PC estate. Mr. Pettit and his counsel agreed after they voluntarily filed bankruptcy to the appointment of a 24 25 Chapter 11 trustee. So my job is not to operate the debtor

1

2

3

4

5

10

but it's to liquidate the debtor, to take the command of both of those estates and assemble, acquire the assets, maximize their value. And, again, ultimately, distribute those assets. And just for my own clarity, the debtor, who are you are referring to in that situation? 6 7 I'm sorry. There's two debtors here. There's a debtor 8 individually, Chris Pettit individually. And then 9 separately but jointly administered, Chris Pettit's and Associates PC is the second debtor. And in your role and trustee of Mr. Pettit's 11 12 bankruptcy and that of his legal entity, have you met 1.3 Mr. Pettit? 14 I've met Mr. Pettit in person three or four times, and 15 I've spoken -- and I've seen him in court, obviously, on 16 numerous occasions and by Webex. And then I've also talked 17 to him over the phone. 18 And have you become familiar with his financial 19 situation, his spending habits, things of that nature? Very familiar. 20 21 Okay. So I'd like to move you on a little bit to 22 asking if you're aware of any instances where in your 23 capacity as trustee where -- any instances where 24 Mr. Pettit's has failed to appear at a hearing or a --25 failed to appear in a manner required by a judge in the

1 bankruptcy proceedings? 2 So as far as appearance or failure to appear at a 3 hearing, Judge Gargotta, the bankruptcy judge, wasn't ordering Mr. Pettit. That's just the style he approached it 4 5 in this case. He wasn't ordering Mr. Pettit to be in So I don't see a direct violation of an order 6 person. 7 ordering him to appear in person except for one instance. 8 I recall that Mr. Pettit filed a motion to use the 9 state funds with a budget that I believe was something like 10 \$40,000 plus a month. But that's what you do in bankruptcy. 11 You ask for the authority to use money, which Mr. Pettit 12 didn't do initially but he did it this time. Asked for a 1.3 hearing. And at that hearing he didn't even appear. And so 14 the judge properly said, there's people here including the 15 Court that want to talk about the expenses on the budget, so 16 Mr. Pettit needs to show up in person next week. Next week 17 comes and Mr. Pettit was by Webex and the judge -- Judge 18 Gargotta said, "I thought I told you to show up in person." 19 And Mr. Pettit and Mr. Colvard made some excuses about him 20 not being able to afford travel. And so Judge Gargotta 21 being the nice judge he was went on with the hearing. 22 And just for clarification, mister -- you mentioned a 23 Mr. Colvard. Who was Mr. Colvard? 24 I apologize. Mr -- Mr. Mike Colvard was at the time 25 Mr. Pettit's bankruptcy counsel.

1 Okay. Let me ask, are you also aware of any instances 2 where Mr. Pettit has shown disregard for a Court's 3 instructions or bankruptcy requirements? Several examples. 4 5 And can you describe some of those for me, maybe start 6 with any involving moving assets within the post-bankruptcy 7 process. 8 With regard to moving assets, Mr. Pettit in late August 9 contacted, he called them representatives, but it was the nanny and the nanny's family, his nanny. And then his 10 family, without naming names. But he organized them to go 11 12 to his alleged homestead and collect personal property with 1.3 the intent to sell that property. 14 And we'll come back to that and get more details on 15 that. 16 Α Okay. 17 Can you talk to me about moving assets between 18 financial accounts or into financial accounts and out and in 19 into others? 20 Sure. So a debtor has the obligation under federal law 21 Title 11, which is the bankruptcy code, to fill out 22 schedules or properly disclose his assets and also to 23 voluntarily surrender assets to the trustee. And so Mr. Pettit didn't do that properly in the first instance. 24

So immediately upon my appointment I asked for information

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

including information about bank accounts. And it was discovered in early July that Mr. Pettit was spending an excessive amount of estate money. And where was that account held? That account was held at Martha's Vineyard Bank. And, to your knowledge, how was that account funded? How did Mr. Pettit put money into that account? He transferred money from his 401K IRA account that was held with Fidelity. And as far as your understanding, the money that was in his 401K and his Fidelity IRA, was that money that was derived from fraudulently attained funds? Based on my understanding and my consultation with my forensic accountant, I believe it was. Okay. And so what was he doing with that money that was in his Martha's Vineyard account? How was -- how was he utilizing it? Spending in all kinds of excessive ways. He went 19 through --Can you give me an example? Α Yes, sir. He went through \$250,000 from June 1st to July 11, so roughly 45 days. And he spent that money on Amazon marketplace, hundreds to thousands of dollars. Video games, hundreds to thousands of dollars, checks to cash, ATM withdrawals, restaurants, hotels, to various consultants he

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

called them, that if you Googled them were basically -- held themselves out as asset protectors. So just hodgepodge of things. And, again, within a 45-day period went through \$250,000. And you also mentioned that he failed to disclose certain assets. Can you give me some examples of assets he failed to disclose? Numerous assets. But the big items that stand out were at least three vehicles and at least two storage facilities, oh, and a mobile home. And these assets would pop up, you know, during the case. He didn't disclose them. I had to find them out even after asking him point blank about further vehicles. Even after visiting a storage facility with Mr. Pettit where a \$100,000 Mercedes was stored, he did not tell me about that, and we subsequently found out about it a week later when I got the bill from the bank that held the lien. And -- and I think this is probably obvious, but what is the significance of failing to disclose assets in the context of a bankruptcy proceeding? Again, I'm not a criminal lawyer but disclosure is, you know, first and foremost in bankruptcy. I mean, I've been -- I've been teaching law clerks for 16 years. And disclosure is the golden rule. If you don't disclose you don't get the benefits of bankruptcy. But, more

1 importantly, it's a bankruptcy crime under, you know, Title 2 18. 3 Thank you. And so let me move on to a little bit 4 different topic in terms of -- are you aware of any actions 5 he has taken to engage in other misuse of client funds, say, immediately before declaring bankruptcy around that time 6 7 period? 8 Yes. 9 Can you describe some of those for me? 10 So the clearest example of that was in mid-August. 11 finally got the production from Wells Fargo about the 12 several IOLTA accounts that Mr. Pettit had, a Texas IOLTA 1.3 account, a New Mexico IOLTA account. And during the May time period, I'm talking about weeks before the June 1st 14 bankruptcy filing, in this example I'm using, it's evident 15 16 by the account that over a million dollars was transferred 17 into the -- that account from a former client pursuant to 18 what was told to them, maybe a 1031 Exchange and then just 19 spent by Mr. Pettit on various personal expenses and also 20 used to fund his lawyers' retainers in part including a 21 criminal defense attorney and the bankruptcy attorney. 22 And, just to be clear, how proximate was that to filing 23 bankruptcy, approximately? Two weeks. 24 25 Okay. And you said it was an IOLTA account. Can you

1 describe what you mean by an IOLTA account? 2 IOLTA account is, you know, every lawyer in 3 Texas -- Texas, has a trust account. And you have to account for that through a ledger and bank reconciliation. 4 5 And money is put in there or money is held for another, meaning money is held for a client. It's not the lawyer's 6 7 money. And what Mr. Pettit did was he took other people's 8 money and used it for his own benefit. 9 To include paying for attorneys? 10 Yes, sir. Α 11 His own attorneys? 12 Α Yes. 1.3 And what -- what were the other -- just give me one or 14 two other examples. 15 Some of the expenses we've talked about, you know, including just large excessive grocery trips. You know, 16 17 fuel for various vehicles. Then there were a couple of 18 larger payments to at least one piece of real property in 19 New Orleans. But Mr. Pettit was very good at taking large 20 sums of money and spending it really fast. 21 And thank you for that. So let me -- let me ask to 22 move on a little bit. And you had touched on this a little 23 Are you aware of any occasions where Mr. Pettit interfered with a witness, a person who might be a witness 24 25 in the bankruptcy proceedings?

1 Α Yes. 2 And at a very high level, can you give me a description 3 of what that -- what that is and involves? It specifically involves his nanny's daughter and I 4 5 quess their family. But a specific phone conversation that was recorded where he I believe prepped or tampered with 6 7 this particular witness's testimony by stating to her 8 directly on the recording that if -- if you testify that I 9 -- I ordered you to sell personal property, I'm going to jail. But if you testify that you were just trying to help 10 me out, then we're okay. 11 12 And how did you -- you said it was a recording. Did --1.3 do -- did you receive this recording? 14 Yes. My lawyers and I received this recording directly 15 from the source, the nanny's daughter who we had 16 interviewed. And when we got done with the interview, she 17 told us that she had a conversation with Mr. Pettit and that 18 she had taped it. We asked if we could listen to it, and 19 she sent us direct texts. 20 MR. STEPHENSON: And, Your Honor, with the Court's 21 permission I'd like to play just a few seconds to verify that 22 this is the correct recording and then play about two 23 minutes, if that's all right? 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. STEPHENSON: Ms. Sandoval, could you play about

```
1
     ten seconds of the recording?
 2
                (Audio recording playing.)
 3
               MR. STEPHENSON: That's a good place to stop.
 4
     Thank you.
 5
     BY MR. STEPHENSON:
          Do you recognize the voices on that recording?
 6
 7
                That's Mr. Pettit and then the nanny's daughter.
8
     Q
         Okay.
 9
               MR. STEPHENSON: Are there any objections, Your
10
     Honor, for me playing the -- about two minutes of that
11
     recording?
12
               MR. ALLEN:
                           No, Your Honor.
1.3
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
14
               MR. STEPHENSON: Okay. Ms. Sandoval, would you
15
     play it?
16
               (Audio playing.)
17
               MR. STEPHENSON: Ms. Sandoval, can you please
18
     pause? Thank you.
19
     BY MR. STEPHENSON:
20
          Just to verify, have you heard that recording before?
21
     Α
          Yes.
22
          And that's the same recording you received from the--
     Q
23
          Nanny's daughter.
     Α
24
          Nanny's daughter?
     Q
25
          Correct.
```

1 And given your experience and your familiarity with the 2 bankruptcy matter, what do you interpret Mr. Pettit to be 3 saying during that recording? MR. ALLEN: Objection to speculation. 4 5 THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. Go ahead. 6 THE WITNESS: My opinion -- my -- based on my 7 experience, is that he was coaching or telling the nanny's 8 daughter, being protective about her name -- the nanny's 9 daughter, what to say. 10 BY MR. STEPHENSON: 11 And -- yeah. And let me also ask was there -- there 12 was a question about --1.3 Α M-hm. There was a comment about who should be -- who should 14 15 appear at a proceeding. Right. And this was confirmed by the nanny's daughter 16 17 that that statement was to the nanny's daughter about her 18 father who, confirmed by the nanny's daughter, spends time 19 in Mexico. And what he was telling her was if he's in 20 Mexico then he should just stay in Mexico and not be 21 available for the hearing. 22 And are you aware of any testimony in subsequent 23 bankruptcy proceedings concerning this recording? 24 Yes. 25 And can you summarize for me what you heard in those

1 proceedings? 2 That was in the context of I think our second motion 3 for contempt on September 8th, the judge admitted that tape 4 part as well as more of it. It was confirmed by the nanny's 5 daughter. And subsequently the judge found that in part the reason for his finding of contempt. 6 7 And so what did the -- what did the nanny's daughter 8 say during that hearing -- that she was instructed by Mr. Pettit? 9 10 She confirmed -- she stated that Mr. Pettit ordered her 11 and her family to sell the personal property at Champions 12 Run the alleged homestead. 1.3 And is that contradictory to what we just heard on the 14 recording? 15 No, it's consistent. 16 And so -- I'm sorry. She's saying that -- she said it 17 -- she testified that she was ordered by Mr. Pettit to move items from his homestead--18 19 Α Right. 20 -- and sell them. Q 21 Α Right. In the recording, what did Mr. Pettit say, as you 22 23 recall? I hear what you're saying. I apologize. 24 25 recording, Mr. Pettit said that if you testify that I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
ordered to -- ordered you to sell the property that I'm
going to go to jail. And if you say that -- that --
          THE COURT: So she ultimately testified truthfully?
          THE WITNESS: Correct.
          MR. STEPHENSON: Right.
BY MR. STEPHENSON:
    And so were there any other -- any other members of the
nanny's family give testimony at that -- at that bankruptcy
proceeding?
          One of the nanny's son's testified and also
stated and confirmed that they were told by Mr. Pettit to
sell personal property from the alleged homestead residence.
     Okay. And so let me ask you -- this -- this recording
that we just mentioned was brought up as part of a contempt
proceeding, I think you mentioned that. What was the
outcome of that contempt proceeding?
     The judge found Mr. Pettit in contempt and ordered
incarceration.
     As best as you recall, what were the reasons given by
the judge for holding Mr. Pettit in contempt?
Α
     It was the finding that he -- about this scheme to move
and with the intent to sell his personal property. There
was also the false statements about a business laptop.
then in his oral findings he went on to say that: You are
disobeying and violating my previous final order that was
```

1 entered on the previous contempt proceedings by not 2 disclosing all assets because there's new assets popping up 3 and by not properly amending the schedules. Okay. And do you know how long Mr. Pettit was held in 4 5 contempt? He was incarcerated for three months. 6 7 Okay. And was Mr. Pettit given a list of things to do 8 to purge? This is a civil contempt. So was he given a list 9 of things to do to purge that contempt? 10 Yes. Α 11 And, to your knowledge, did he do all of the things 12 that were required to purge that contempt? 1.3 I don't believe that he did. 14 Okay. You've mentioned your experience as a bankruptcy 15 attorney. How common is this type of scenario? 16 It's very uncommon. I -- I've -- with regard to a 17 bankruptcy judge locking up someone up for contempt, it 18 rarely occurs. I mean, in my -- in my practice over 27 years, I've probably been involved in three or four cases 19 20 where that's occurred. And I know of Judge Gargotta. And, 21 you know, I've heard of other examples. But he -- he rarely 22 locks people -- debtors up for contempt. 23 And what about something like the witness tampering that we -- that we discussed earlier? 24 25 I've never seen that.

1 Never seen it? 2 Not in my practice. 3 Okay. MR. STEPHENSON: Thank you, Your Honor. No more 4 5 questions at the moment. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And I will say 6 7 it feels like this might be somewhat of a long hearing. 8 may have to break and resume at I think probably 1:30. So 9 just giving you a heads up. You can start your 10 cross-examination though. 11 MR. ALLEN: Okay. No problem. Do you want me to 12 start, Your Honor, or --1.3 THE COURT: You can -- you can start. I'll give 14 you 15 minutes, and then we'll see if we get through that and 15 then we can take the next witness after lunch. 16 MR. ALLEN: Okay. Thank you, Judge. 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLEN: 18 19 Mr. Berry, you -- you're the trustee on the bankruptcy 20 portion of Mr. Pettit's bankruptcy case? 21 Α Correct. And it's Mr. Terry. 22 Q Oh, I'm -- I'm sorry, Terry. I apologize. 23 Α I probably miscommunicated it. 24 Now, as far as -- you talked about as a trustee you 25 need to work with the debtor. Is that fair?

- 1 It is a hindrance if the debtor doesn't follow his 2 obligations to my duties. 3 And so the -- the best case scenario for the -- the bankruptcy -- for any bankruptcy is to -- to work with the 4 5 debtor, reach an agreement, and have cooperation? 6 The best case scenario is full cooperation and honesty 7 by the debtor. 8 Okay. And as far as -- you talked about one time where 9 Mr. Pettit was ordered to appear but he -- when he was ordered to appear in person, he actually wasn't in court. 10 Is that fair to say? 11 12 Α Yes. 1.3 And then he and -- he had an attorney at the time? 14 Α Yes, sir. 15 Okay. And they were under the understanding I guess that he could come on through Webex? 16 17 That -- that apparently was their understanding. I'm 18 -- I'm speculating. I heard it different. And that -- that hearing went forward; is that right?
- 19
- 20 Α Correct.
- 21 Other than that, there -- there hasn't been any time
- 22 where he didn't appear in court when he was supposed to be
- 23 in court?
- 24 That's true.
- 25 And there -- you recently had a bankruptcy hearing with

1 Judge Gargotta? 2 Α Correct. 3 Do you remember -- or recall when that was? 4 December 8th, I believe. 5 Okay. So just last week? 6 Right. Α 7 And you talked about things that weren't disclosed to 8 you right away, things that you had to find out on your own, and other concerns you had. But you've actually since then, 9 10 I believe, on December 1st, you've reached an agreement or a settlement and waiver agreement with Mr. Pettit; is that 11 12 right? 1.3 Α Yes. And in there it -- it gives things and conditions that 14 Mr. Pettit must follow? 15 16 Correct. And one of those is to -- to meet with the trustee or 17 18 representatives either to -- to meet with the trustee or 19 representatives, right? 20 Correct. 21 To cooperate with the trustee, to implement and effect 22 that agreement? 23 Α Correct. 24 And, again, this was on December 1st; is that right? 25 When we entered into the agreement subject to the

1 Court's approval? 2 Yes. 3 Probably. That's probably true. But it was approved I 4 believe on the 8th. 5 Okay. So is it fair to say that Mr. Pettit hasn't had 6 really an opportunity to -- to try to follow through with 7 the agreement, since he's been in custody since that time. 8 Is that fair? 9 With that agreement? 10 Correct. Q 11 Α Yes. 12 Q Okay. 1.3 But for six months he didn't cooperate. 14 And so at that hearing on December 8th, pursuant to 15 this agreement by yourself and Mr. Pettit and his attorney, 16 Judge Gargotta lifted that contempt or -- or was going to 17 release Mr. Pettit? 18 He released Mr. Pettit based on his reasoning, a 19 balancing of a punitive nature versus the -- whether there 20 would be some utility. And he did a balancing, and he did 21 release him. 22 Okay. So as -- as far as the bankruptcy, he's been 23 released. Is that fair to say? 24 Correct. 25 Now, at that --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Just to clarify what you're saying, is he was evaluating the contumacious behavior and was deciding whether or not a longer period of incarceration would get to compliance or not and ultimately decided it wouldn't. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MR. ALLEN: And -- and, actually, your -- yourself and through the bankruptcy attorneys and Judge Gargotta stated that it would be better and possibly help the bankruptcy if Mr. Pettit was released. He can help decide which creditors are true creditors, are not true creditors, can meet with the bankruptcy attorneys or yourself. Is that fair to say? It is with qualification because he did it very reluctantly and suspiciously. He said in theory that makes sense--MR. ALLEN: I'm going to object to non-responsive. THE WITNESS: -- but if there's --THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. THE WITNESS: His findings speak for themself. mean, the judge was saying I'm balancing this. At this time I'm going to release him, but it won't take me five seconds to put him back if there's no cooperation. BY MR. ALLEN: So -- so -- again, he put Mr. Pettit on notice if -- if he goes through and follows this agreement that you've

reached then he can remain out. But if he missteps one time 1 2 he knows that that -- that contempt can come right back. 3 that fair? 4 Yes. 5 And, again, this order that -- that was agreed upon by yourself and signed off by the judge, there's been no 6 7 violation of that order as to date? 8 I -- well, they -- it's --9 And I --10 That's -- I mean, he hasn't -- it's being violated Α right now because there's things that he hasn't done. 11 12 And -- but he's been in custody since then when that --1.3 Α That's true. 14 Okay. Have you tried to set up a visit with Mr. Pettit? 15 16 Α No. 17 Okay. Now, you talked -- who was Mr. Pettit's attorney 18 during the time with the Webex, and he didn't show up to 19 that court, do you --20 Michael Colvard. 21 Okay. And now the attorney is Mr. Ron Smeberg; is that 22 right? 23 Α Correct. 24 You've met Mr. Smeberg? 25 I know Mr. Smeberg.

1 Okay. And he's here in the courtroom? 2 Α Yes, sir. 3 Would you agree that the cases may be taking a turn for the better since Mr. Smeberg has come onto the case? 4 5 We got a good result with the settlement. And Mr. Smeberg had, you know, has been engaged during that time 6 7 frame. 8 And a -- a good result. So, in that hearing in 9 December, Mr. Pettit has agreed to voluntarily give up his homestead; is that right? 10 He's waived his homestead exemption. 11 12 Okay. And you said, "Waived his homestead exemption." 1.3 What does that mean? The homestead exemption? 14 Well, in -- in Texas because we're in Texas, this 15 federal bankruptcy court would look at exemptions meaning 16 property that would fall out of the estate. And what I said 17 before was my job is to gather all the estate property and ultimately liquidate it. In Texas bankruptcy court you get 18 19 to claim certain exemptions, one of them is a homestead exemption if -- if you prove the requisite elements then 20 21 that homestead exemption falls out of the estate. 22 And here, again, Mr. Pettit agreed to voluntarily give 23 up that -- that homestead exemption; is that right? 24 Correct.

And then he also voluntarily gave up his retirement

25

1 account; is that right? 2 Α Correct. 3 Could that fall under an exemption? 4 Yes. 5 With those assets that -- that Mr. Pettit gave up, do you know approximately the value of the assets? 6 7 A little over \$400,000 in the IRA/401K subject to tax 8 penalties because his withdrawals earlier he wasn't paying taxes on. So it's probably a little less than that. 9 10 homestead exemption, the equity in the house it's cross 11 collateralized with another building but I think it's 12 significant. I think it's probably, again, with the cross collateralization six or \$700,000. But his exemption based 1.3 on now where we're at, I think would have been limited to 14 around \$190,000 because of his fraud. 15 16 Okay. 17 At -- at best. 18 And, again, that -- at the time, though, he voluntarily 19 gave up about a million dollars in assets that at the time 20 he was not required to give up. Is that fair? 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. You -- you talked about moving assets and those 23 -- those types of things. You talked about the -- the recording. To your knowledge, has Mr. Pettit been charged 24 25 with any obstruction?

1 Not to my knowledge. 2 Okay. Has he been charged with any type of tampering 3 with witnesses? Not to my knowledge. 4 5 Are you aware that he also in that same conversation 6 told -- that was his nanny's daughter? 7 Correct. I believe so. 8 Okay. And are you aware that he told the nanny's 9 daughter in that same conversation not to lie? 10 I don't remember that. I have to listen. He may have 11 said that. 12 Okay. And, again, we -- we listened to a portion of it 1.3 but we didn't play the whole recording. Is that right? 14 Α Correct. 15 Okay. And you -- you conditioned what you thought he was trying to do. You thought he was trying to witness 16 17 tamper. Obviously, you don't know what was inside the mind of Mr. Pettit at the time. 18 19 That's correct. But I heard what I heard. 20 And, again, you -- you said he was incarcerated for 21 contempt for approximately three months? 22 Α Correct. 23 And then, subsequently, he was released when Judge Gargotta -- did you use the term, "purge the contempt?" 24

25

am I misusing that?

```
1
          His lawyer argued a motion requesting Judge Gargotta to
 2
     release Mr. Pettit based on his purge of the contempt.
 3
     I'm not so sure that mister -- that Judge Gargotta found a
 4
     complete purging but he released him, again, based on a
 5
     weighing the factors. I don't believe he's purged himself
     of the order that put him in contempt.
 6
 7
          And in part, that's based on the settlement and waiver
     agreement that you reached with Mr. Pettit?
 8
 9
          The only -- we had no condition of release in our
10
     settlement agreement. Our settlement agreement was not to
11
    oppose his release.
12
          Okay.
1.3
          So it was not a condition that he'd be released.
14
          So you -- because Mr. Pettit signed off on this
15
     settlement agreement, you agreed basically to leave it
     within the Court's discretion whether to release or detain
16
17
     Mr. Pettit?
18
     Α
          Correct.
19
     Q
          Okay.
20
               MR. ALLEN: Can I have one moment, Your Honor?
21
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
22
               (Pause.)
23
               MR. ALLEN:
                           Thank you, Your Honor. I pass the
24
     witness.
25
               THE COURT:
                            Okay.
```

```
1
               MR. STEPHENSON: Your Honor, no further questions.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. Then can this witness be excused
 3
     for when the hearing resumes or does he need to be here --
     you're, of course, welcome to return.
 4
 5
               MR. STEPHENSON: He can be excused, Your Honor.
               MR. ALLEN: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: All right.
 8
               THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate
 9
     it.
10
               THE COURT: All right. We will take a recess.
                                                                Ве
    back at 1:30. Okay. Thank you.
11
12
               THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
1.3
               (Recess.)
14
               (Court resumes.)
15
               THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
16
               THE COURT: You may be seated. We are back on the
17
              I apologize for the delay. We need the defendant I
18
     suppose. Let's go ahead and bring him in. Okay. Are you
19
    ready to call your next witness?
20
               MR. ALMONTE: Yes, Your Honor. At this time the
21
    government calls FBI Special Agent Thomas Sweat.
22
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please raise your hand.
23
               Do you swear or affirm that the testimony which you
24
    may give to the Court in this case now, shall be the truth,
25
     the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
```

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.			
2	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.			
3	THOMAS SWEAT, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, SWORN			
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
5	BY MR. ALMONTE:			
6	Q Good afternoon, sir. Will you please introduce			
7	yourself to the Court and for the record, please?			
8	A Yes, sir. I'm special agent Thomas Sweat of the San			
9	Antonio Division in the FBI.			
10	Q Okay. The FBI is also known as the Federal Bureau of			
11	Investigation?			
12	A Correct.			
13	Q Okay. How long you been assigned to the San Antonio			
14	FBI?			
15	A About a year and a half.			
16	Q And prior to that, what was your work experience?			
17	A Before that I ran a small business in the oilfield.			
18	Q Okay. And what types of work would you do in relation			
19	to that?			
20	A So I was the chief operating officer for most of my			
21	time there, so accounting work, bookwork, fieldwork, the			
22	whole the whole nine-yards.			
23	Q And do you currently hold any degrees, whether they be			
24	undergraduate or graduate degrees?			
25	A I have an undergraduate degree in business and a law			

1 degree from SMU. 2 Okay. And you mentioned you have a law degree. 3 you a licensed attorney? 4 Yes. Okay. But currently not practicing law? 5 Α Correct. 6 7 Okay. So here as an agent not as lawyer? 8 That's right. 9 That's probably the smart thing. Let me ask you, you 10 said you've been with the FBI for about a year and a half. 11 What are your duties and responsibilities and your current 12 assignment? 1.3 So to investigate white collar crimes. 14 And as part of the investigation, what -- generally, what do you do in those investigations? 15 So my investigations involve conducting interviews and 16 17 during forensic account analysis. 18 And did you have the occasion to be involved in an 19 investigation of Christopher John Pettit? 20 Α Yes. 21 Q And do you know him also as Chris Pettit? 22 Α That's right. 23 0 And what was your involvement in investigating Pettit? 24 So I came onto the case and then was instrumental in 25 coordinating a lot of the review of bank statements as well

1 as conducting all the interviews of potential victims. 2 And -- and -- and in analyzing or reviewing bank 3 statements, what -- what specific accounts were you focused on? 4 So I'd say the primary accounts were an estate 5 management account designated as such with Frost Bank and 6 7 then New Mexico IOLTA account with Wells Fargo. 8 And the New Mexico IOLTA account, did he -- beyond that 9 IOLTA account, did he have any other IOLTA accounts that you're aware? 10 11 I believe there was an IOLTA account with couple 12 of different banks. And there was also a Texas IOLTA 1.3 account with Wells Fargo. 14 In your analysis of those accounts, what -- what were 15 you focused on or what were you looking for? 16 So, I was looking for money that would come in from 17 alleged victims and then just trace that -- those funds to 18 what it would be -- he spent on. 19 So, in other words, money coming in, and you want to 20 see where that money went out? 21 Α Yup. 22 Okay. If and through your -- I guess just back -- and 23 you said you came on to the investigation. Are you aware of 24 approximately when the investigation was opened?

I believe the investigation was opened at the end of

25

1 March in the beginning of April. 2 Of this year? 3 Of this year. 4 In 2022. 5 M-hm.Okay. And in evaluating the financial -- when you're 6 7 conducting the financial analysis, are there specific 8 transactions you're looking for based on the victims you're 9 -- you're interviewing? 10 Yes. So based on victim interviews, it seemed that Mr. Pettit was engaged in 1031 exchanges. 11 12 And what's a 1031 exchange? 1.3 So the 1031 exchange is an IRS transaction that enables 14 somebody to defer capital gains tax as long as they sell 15 qualifying property and use those funds to purchase a 16 qualifying property of like-kind within a certain time 17 frame. 18 And, essentially, is it your understanding that Chris Pettit would serve as a qualified intermediary? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 Otherwise known as a QI? 22 Α Yup. 23 And as QI, what would Pettit instruct clients to do with their money from the sale of an asset? 24 25 Α So he would often instruct clients or notify clients

1 that he would send wiring instructions on their behalf to 2 the title company or the closing company and then have the 3 clients okay to have the title company wire the closing funds to -- most of the time his IOLTA account, as an escrow 4 5 account, where he would hold the funds until such a time as 6 the client identified the qualifying property or they asked 7 for a distribution. 8 And based on your analysis, was that money -- that 9 money from the 1031 exchange or was -- what was to be used as a 1031 exchange, was that being held in escrow in 10 Pettit's IOLTA account? 11 12 Α um --1.3 Or any other accounts where it was deposited? 14 It was deposited in those accounts. It was not held as 15 extra. Okay. And what was that money being used for? 16 17 That money was being used to pay other clients to 18 purchase assets and to be distributed to other individuals 19 and to include Mr. Pettit himself. 20 Was there any other significant purchases that you were 21 able to identify? 22 Purchases of real property. So particularly a down 23 payment on a house in Florida and some other. 24 And -- and you've heard -- you've heard reference to a 25 property in Florida. It's maybe been referred to as a

1 mansion at -- at Disney world. Is that -- is that the same 2 property that's been discussed? 3 Yes. Okay. And you said a down payment. Approximately, how 4 5 much was that money or how much was that down payment? My understanding is \$800,000. 6 Α 7 Okay. And that would of -- that would've come from a 8 1031 exchange deposit? The funds from that are client funds. 9 10 Okay. And, overall, in your review of Pettit's, I 11 guess, conduct, you know he operates a law practice. 12 that correct? 1.3 Α Yes. 14 What type of services did he -- did he provide? My understanding is that he himself provided estate 15 16 planning and investment services in addition to the 1031 17 exchange services through his law practice. And I believe 18 there was also a personal injury side of his law practice. 19 And, specifically, as to -- we discussed 1031 20 exchanges. But as it relates to the estate planning, were 21 you able to identify other avenues or other aspects of fraud as it related to that? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 And what was that? 25 Those are instances where Mr. Pettit would take client

1 funds under the ostracism of investing them in bonds and 2 then not invest them in bonds. 3 And what -- what was the -- the -- I guess the representation that Mr. Pettit, the defendant, would say to 4 5 clients as related to the bonds? That their money would be safe and that it would be 6 7 invested in a high -- I believe it was a secondary market bonds -- high interest, I'm sorry, high interest bonds. 8 9 And did you do a specific analysis as to certain deposits that were made for those bonds? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 And once -- where were those funds deposited into? 1.3 They were deposited into several different accounts to 14 include the IOLTA account and the estate management account. 15 You say several different accounts. Are those accounts controlled and owned by Chris Pettit? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 Once that money is deposited, based on your analysis, 19 what were you able to determine? 20 I was able to determine that in many instances shortly 21 after the money was deposited, so sometimes the same day, 22 sometimes within a week, sometimes within a month, that 23 money was spent on anything but bonds and qualifying properties for 1031 exchanges. 24 25 You said he spent on anything but those -- those things

1 you just described. Was it spent on items not original --2 not meant for its purpose or its original purpose? 3 That's correct. 4 Beyond the -- the bonds and the 1031 exchanges, you 5 mentioned that he engaged in estate plannings. Does that include trust, irrevocable trust? 6 7 Yes. 8 Did you do or focus your investigation or analysis on 9 that aspect as well? 10 Α Yes. 11 And what were you able to determine? 12 I was able to determine that the money that was being 1.3 held in trust by Mr. Pettit was then used to purchase assets 14 to pay off other victims and to distribute to individuals in 15 -- in contradiction to the representations that he made to 16 the victims who he established the trust for. 17 And some of the -- you mentioned some of the assets was 18 -- was real property. Is that a fair statement? 19 Α Yes. 20 What other assets were you able to -- to determine he 21 either purchased or was making payments towards? 22 A vehicle. Purchase of -- payments made to car 23 dealerships, also real property, as you said. And then distributions to individuals. 24 25 As far as payments made to real property, were you able

1 to identify any more -- large mortgage payments that were 2 made to certain properties? 3 Yes. And -- can you identify those for the Court? 4 5 I believe they were mortgage payments made to Champions 6 Run property as well as to the property in Florida. 7 And the Champions Run property, what do you know that -- that property to be to Chris Pettit? 8 9 His home here in San Antonio. 10 And were you able to identify, approximately, maybe not 11 a total, but certain payments. How much they -- they were 12 for? 1.3 How much each mortgage payment was for? 14 0 Right. 15 I don't recall the exact amount but they did appear to 16 be mortgage payments. 17 Okay. And -- and -- also during the course of your 18 investigation, what else are you focusing your financial 19 analysis on? 20 In terms of? 21 As -- as far as tracing or following the money. 22 Α All right. So we're just focused on when the money 23 came in and then on what it was being spent on, if it was 24 used to purchase any assets. And that's -- that's pretty 25 much the extent of it.

1 Did you identify -- you see wire transfers going from 2 various accounts or to various purchases? During the course of your analysis were you able to find or determine whether 3 or not there was any cash withdrawals? 4 5 Yes. 6 Okay. And -- and can you describe the nature of those 7 cash withdrawals? 8 So this an ongoing investigation, but preliminary 9 analysis indicates that from one Wells Fargo IOLTA account 10 over the course of approximately April of 2021 to April of 11 2022 almost half a million dollars was taken out in -- in 12 branch withdrawals. 1.3 0 Okay. And then --14 15 And before we move on--16 M-hm. 17 -- to the next portion -- that five -- that almost five 18 to a half million or \$500,000 was taken out of a New Mexico 19 IOLTA account. Is that accurate? 20 Yes. Yes. 21 And have you been able to, I guess, identify where 22 those funds went, that \$500,000? 23 Α Not at this time. 24 Okay. And beyond \$500,000, I think I cut you off, you

were about to indicate maybe there's some other findings.

25

1 Can you go ahead and do that, please? 2 Α From the Frost estate management account, there was in 3 the neighborhood over the course of about a year and a half during the period covered by the indictment of almost a 4 million that was withdrawn in cash. 5 And similar to the \$500,000 you spoke with, have you 6 7 been able to identify where that -- that -- close to -- you 8 said a million dollars--9 Α M-hm. 10 -- in cash withdrawing has -- has gone? 11 Α No. 12 Q So at this time it's still unaccounted for? 1.3 Α Correct. 14 Okay. On those cash withdrawals, were you able to --15 are there any cash withdrawals -- do you see any other transactions going to outside third parties that you might 16 17 -- known third parties to you now? 18 Α Yes. 19 And -- and -- who are those -- I guess those transfers 20 going to that you've been able to identify? 21 Α What appeared to be close associates of Chris Pettit. 22 Okay. And so at least they got -- it could appear to 23 you that it's not a business relationship that you're aware 24 of? 25 Correct.

1 Q Okay. 2 Seems to be friendships, just close associates. 3 And, approximately, describe that to the Court those transactions account. How many are there and the amount. 4 5 There's numerous amounts, and they range from anywhere 6 between a thousand dollar to approximately \$5,000 regular 7 payments during periods of time to as much as \$10,000 in one instance, like one of those payments. Sometimes they take 8 9 the form of wires. Other times they're checks. 10 Okay. And you had mentioned earlier that the -- I 11 think you said that the -- the investigation is ongoing. 12 that still accurate? 1.3 Α Yes. 14 So does it -- what does that mean it's ongoing? 15 That means that every week almost we identify a -- new 16 potential victims, and we get further and further into 17 seeing where victim funds have been used and 18 misappropriated. 19 And you had -- you testified that some of the funds 20 that you identified from one victim deposited would then be 21 paid to another victim. Is that -- is that accurate? 22 Α Yes. 23 And based on your training and experience, what does 24 akin to? What is that similar to that you have seen? 25 It seems to me that it was a pretty prototypical Ponzi

1 scheme. 2 And although the investigation is still ongoing and its 3 preliminary findings, do you have a -- as you sit here today, at least an estimated amount of loss that you've 4 5 identified, even if it's a conservative amount? 6 Yes. On the conservative side I would say \$30 million 7 during the time period that we've been investigating, and it 8 could be as high as 70 million or more. 9 And -- and agent -- Agent Pettit is moving to a 10 different -- I'm sorry, Agent Sweat, not agent Pettit, I 11 apologize. Agent Sweat, moving to a different topic, are 12 you familiar with the bankruptcy proceedings involving Chris 1.3 Pettit? 14 Α Yes, I am. 15 Okay. And how are you familiar with those proceedings? 16 I've attended a few of them in person. And then also 17 via a call-in, and then I've -- I've spoken with the 18 trustee. 19 Okay. And as a result of the bankruptcy proceedings, 20 are you aware of any specific allegations that were provided 21 to you or the U.S Attorney's office reference misconducts by Chris Pettit? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. What is the nature of those allegations or the 25 complaint that was provided?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The nature of those allegations are allegations of lying under oath, of generally obstructing the process of the bankruptcy, and of trying to influence witnesses. And the lying under oath, are you -- are you familiar with the specifics of what that entails? Yes, I believe so. Α Okay. And can you describe that for the Court, please? So my understanding is that Mr. Pettit has claimed to not know where certain property is, specifically a business laptop, and claim to have never had it even though I've been seeking to introduce to show that it was sent to him and that he did in fact have it at one point. And during the pendency of the bankruptcy proceeding, are you familiar with kind of -- did the bankruptcy proceeding commence around June of this year. Is that -- is that -- would that be accurate? I believe so, yes. Okay. Are you familiar with any other transactions that Chris Pettit would of engaged in as it relates to your investigation? Yes. I have reason to believe that Christopher Pettit was engaging in the same types of transactions that we're investigating, soliciting funds for investment or other like-kind transactions, pretty much up into filing a bankruptcy.

There's --

THOMAS SWEAT - DIRECT

1 Okay. When you say up to filing bankruptcy, around 2 when would -- did that occur? 3 So we know of transactions in April. So two months before and potentially in May as well. 4 5 Okay. And the transaction in April, what is that transaction? Are you familiar with it? 6 7 Yeah. There was a large 1031 exchange that was done in 8 April, towards the end of April. 9 And -- and what was that amount for? 10 Almost -- like what it was the amount? Α 11 Q Right. 12 Α Almost \$3 million. 1.3 Okay. And are you familiar with whether or not Chris 14 Pettit at any point became aware or familiar with the federal investigation into him? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 Okay. And -- and how are you aware of that? 18 Α He reached out to our office through his attorney. 19 Okay. And approximately when did that occur? 20 Α Around Easter. 21 Q Okay. So that would have been in April of 2022? 22 Α M-hm. 23 So just -- just backtracking just a little bit, we have 24 April of 2022. You guys get contacted by a lawyer

representing Chris Pettit. Fast forward to May.

25

you mentioned potentially another transaction. What was 2 that transaction? 3 I believe it was another 1031 exchange. 4 Okay. So at that point Mr. Pettit is still taking in 5 client funds? 6 Α Yes. 7 Okay. Do you know the status of those funds from the 8 May transaction? 9 Α Um, I don't. I believe they've been spent. 10 They've been what? 11 Α Spent. 12 Q Okay. 1.3 And not on the -- the proposed place they should be 14 spent. And specific to -- we heard testimony earlier regarding 15 16 a Martha's Vineyard account, are you familiar with that? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. And have you been able to -- I think the 19 testimony was that a lot of the money went into that account 20 from a 401K or similar type retirement account. Are you 21 familiar with that testimony? 22 Α Yes. 23 Were you able to identify other sources of funds into 24 that account? 25 Yes.

1 And what were you able to, based on your analysis, what 2 were you able to see or view? 3 I was able to identify some client funds that went into the account as recent as April. 4 5 Okay. And fast forward, just for the Court's recollection, what was done with some of those funds that 6 7 you saw during the pendency of the bankruptcy proceedings? 8 As Mr. Terry I believe spoke on, they were spent on 9 personal expenses by Mr. Pettit. So it's -- it's -- as -- as you sit here today, 10 11 Martha's Vineyard account is -- is not in total just I --12 401K money -- retirement money? 1.3 Yes. That's my understanding is that it was -- there 14 were multiple sources of funds sent to that account. 15 Okay. Approximately how many victims have you 16 identified, if -- if you had to guess? 17 Α Approximately --18 (Cross-talking.) 19 But don't guess. Approximately, what's your best 20 conservative -- I apologize. 21 Α Approximately 60 victims. 22 Okay. And they all fall in the same parameters as we 23 discussed as far as the scheme? 24 Yes. Either investment, the establishment of a trust, 25 or 1031 exchanges. That pretty much covers most of it.

1 Okay. And have you been, during the course of the 2 investigation, is it also your role to identify assets? 3 Yes. 4 Okay. And did you view or look at any of the 5 bankruptcy schedules? Or were you aware of the schedules filed within the bankruptcy court? 6 7 Yes, I was aware of the schedules. Yes. 8 Okay. And what -- what was your understanding of the 9 accuracy of those schedules filed by Chris Pettit? 10 My understanding is that they were not accurate, which 11 was at least part of what precipitated the contempt 12 proceedings and -- and that there was a lot of issues with 1.3 finalizing those schedules. 14 MR. ALMONTE: No further questions, Your Honor. 15 Pass the witness. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. ALLEN: 18 Good afternoon, Agent Sweat. 19 Α Afternoon. 20 Okay. So you reviewed bank statements and everything, 21 and then you -- you contact or you are contacted by a 22 potential victim. Is that accurate? 23 That's accurate. And you said new potential victims because you have to 24 25 flush out whether they actually are victims or they're

1 trying to -- to jump on and say that they are. You have to 2 confirm that. Is that right? 3 That's right. 4 Okay. And so you would know the victims as far as the 5 ones that you've confirmed. You get their information as far as names and addresses and phone numbers? 6 7 Yes. 8 Okay. Now, you talked about -- your investigation started in March or April of 2022? 9 10 M-hm.Α 11 And you said Mr. Pettit was aware of the investigation 12 in April of 2022; is that right? 1.3 Α That's my understanding. Yes. And he still, as far as you know, would still come when 14 ordered to -- to the bankruptcy proceedings and -- and come 15 16 to San Antonio Texas. Right? 17 Uh, he would often not come to San Antonio Texas. 18 To the bankruptcy proceedings? 19 He would appear, as far as I know, to the bankruptcy 20 proceedings but most of the time virtually. 21 Q Okay. From the ones that I attended. 22 Α 23 Okay. But, again, those would be after he was aware that there was some investigation going on. 24

25

Α

Yes.

1 Now, you talked about cash withdrawals, and you're 2 still trying to figure out where some of that money went? 3 Yes, sir. Have you -- you -- you haven't been at all of the 4 5 bankruptcy proceedings, is that fair to say? 6 Α That's fair to say. 7 So you're not aware if Mr. Pettit, either himself or 8 through his attorney, has -- has tried to explain where some 9 of those cash assets went? 10 I'm generally aware of what the -- the explanations have been for where the money went. 11 12 Okay. So there -- there has been some explanations? 1.3 Α Yes. 14 Q Okay. 15 None of them yet to dissuade our investigation. 16 Now, the -- you estimated conservatively about 17 30 million but as high as 70 million; is that right? 18 Α Yes. 19 And, again, the -- just like you're identifying victims 20 to make sure that they're actual victims, the bankruptcy 21 courts -- the creditors, they're doing the same to make sure 22 that those creditors are real creditors and not just people 23 trying to get money? The identification of victims in a criminal case and 24 25 the identification of creditors in a bankruptcy case, they

1 are very different. But were both active and ongoing, yeah. 2 And were you present at the bankruptcy proceeding of 3 December I believe 7th of 2022? 4 December 7th 2022? The most recent one? 5 Yes. 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Okay. 8 Α For part of it. 9 Okay. So you weren't there for the entire hearing? 10 I was not. Α 11 I guess would the audio of the hearing be the best 12 evidence of that, what took place at that hearing? I believe it would be. 1.3 14 Now, you talked about some allegations or complaints that came to you or your office and one of those was you 15 16 said lying under oath; is that right? 17 Α Yes. 18 To your knowledge, Mr. Pettit hasn't been charged with 19 that, has he? 20 Α No. 21 Obstructing. You said the process or influencing 22 witnesses. 23 Α Yes. 24 And has he been charged with that? 25 No.

1 Okay. Now, the investigation was happening as far back 2 as possibly April of 2022, right? 3 Α Yes. And you're aware that an -- an indictment didn't come 4 5 out until just a few days ago--That's correct. 6 Α 7 -- when Mr. Pettit was scheduled to be released from 8 the contempt hearing. 9 Α M-hm. 10 And you talk about -- obviously, the investigation is 11 still ongoing, correct? 12 Α Correct. 1.3 And you use terms like, well, I believe he spent that 14 money on other things, I believe this happened. 15 because you're still in the process of confirming or denying 16 all of those allegations. Is that fair? It's fair in total of that I'm sure of what he's spent 17 18 the money that I've investigated on. 19 Okay. And as far as the -- the bankruptcy proceedings, 20 you're aware that at that last hearing Mr. Pettit 21 voluntarily gave up his homestead and retirements -retirement accounts? 22 23 After being held in custody for contempt. Yes, sir, he voluntarily did that. 24 25

So that's a yes, correct?

1 Α That's a yes. 2 Okay. And are you aware of that there's hundreds of 3 thousands of emails that the trustee and bankruptcy 4 attorneys needed Mr. Pettit to go through to verify 5 creditors? 6 Α Yes. 7 Are you aware that he has already surrendered his 8 passport? 9 Α Yes. 10 And just to be clear, there's no allegations of any violence or guns or anything in the case. Is that fair? 11 12 Α I believe that's fair. 13 Okay. 14 MR. ALLEN: One moment, Your Honor. I'll pass the 15 witness. THE COURT: Okay. Any redirect? 16 17 MR. ALMONTE: No, Your Honor. Nothing further. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may step 19 Will the government be presenting any more witnesses 20 or just relying on what's been already presented plus the 21 pretrial report. 22 MR. ALMONTE: That's correct, Your Honor. 23 further witness. We are relying on what's been presented. 24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So are you going to 25 call any witnesses?

```
1
               MR. ALLEN: No witnesses, Your Honor. We do have a
 2
     -- a proffer as -- as far as the recording from the
 3
     bankruptcy proceeding from the -- the clerk of that court.
 4
     We'd like to play just two portions of it for -- for the
 5
     Court.
               THE COURT: Okay. Any objections?
 6
 7
               MR. ALMONTE: No objections, Your Honor. I haven't
 8
     heard it yet. But we have no objection.
 9
               THE COURT:
                           All right.
               MR. ALLEN: Was this made for -- received it from
10
11
     the Clerk of the Court.
12
               THE COURT: It's the official court record.
1.3
               MR. ALLEN: Correct, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
15
               MR. ALLEN: Correct, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT:
                          You may play it.
17
               MR. ALLEN:
                          Okay.
18
               (Audio playing.)
19
               (Audio Paused.)
20
               MR. ALLEN: That's that first section and the
21
     second -- second section --
22
               MR. ALMONTE: And, Your Honor, I don't mean to
23
     interrupt but maybe I'm completely wrong. I don't know if
     maybe muting the mics might help with the echo issue.
24
25
               THE COURT:
                           Oh.
```

```
1
               MR. ALMONTE: If that's possible.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. I was able to understand Judge
 3
     Gargotta. But it might help a little bit muting just the
     mics next to wherever it's been --
 4
 5
               MR. ALMONTE: I think -- I don't know if we can do
 6
     it because I think there's speakers up here that --
 7
               THE COURT: Ahh.
 8
               MR. ALMONTE: That's catching.
 9
               THE COURT: Ms. Sandoval, you want to try that.
               MR. ALMONTE: And that may be completely off base
10
11
    but --
12
               MR. ALLEN: Just so the Court knows, it's not
1.3
     actually on the -- the recording doesn't have all the --
14
     (unclear.)
15
               THE COURT:
                          We have an echo.
16
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: We may be there but I would --
17
    ultimately, I don't know where it's coming from.
18
               THE COURT:
                          Well, I was able to understand it.
19
    as long as you all are as well -- I think we can get through.
20
               MR. ALMONTE: Right.
21
               THE COURT: It's not much longer is it?
22
               MR. ALLEN: About 12 minutes, Judge.
               THE COURT: 12 minutes?
23
24
               MR. ALLEN: Maybe about ten minutes, Judge.
25
               THE COURT:
                          Okay. All right. We'll see how it
```

```
1
     goes.
 2
               MR. ALLEN: Your Honor? I -- I apologize.
 3
     Mr. Smeberg was present at the hearing.
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 4
 5
               MR. ALLEN: I can -- if the Court will allow it, I
     think he can proffer to what the ten minutes would say and
 6
 7
     probably condense it down.
 8
               MR. ALMONTE: I don't have an objection to that --
 9
     to that, Your Honor. I guess it's my only concern is
     Mr. Smeberg as well, if he's going to be a --
10
11
               THE COURT: Is he a witness or a lawyer?
12
               MR. ALMONTE: Right.
1.3
               MR. ALLEN: Right. For the purposes of the
14
     hearing, he's just a lawyer that's proffering what--
15
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
16
               MR. ALLEN: -- what would be on the recording.
17
               THE COURT: But it is a detention hearing. So I
18
     think --
19
               MR. ALMONTE:
                             Right.
20
                          We'll take it as a--
               THE COURT:
21
               MR. ALMONTE:
                             No. That's fine.
22
               THE COURT: So I think we'll take it as a proffer.
23
               MR. ALMONTE:
                             I just didn't want it down the road
24
     having issues that he was a witness.
25
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                  Go ahead.
```

1 MR. ALLEN: Right. 2 MR. SMEBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 Ron Smeberg. Some of the main things that came out I won't be able to get all perfect but I'll do the best I 4 5 can, Your Honor. It was stated numerous -- Patrick Husticker is the 6 7 primary lawyer for the trustees office. And he's told the 8 Court numerous times that it was important for the debtor, I 9 call the debtor Mr. Pettit, to be available for -- for him to work with -- for him to work with the trustee on a regular 10 basis to help them work through the issues with the case. 11 12 One thing the Court heard from the -- from the 1.3 detective was there was hundreds of thousands of emails. There's a lot of information that needs to be gone through, 14 15 and he probably said three or four times -- the counsel told the Court that if Mr. Pettit was to be allowed, their primary 16 17 concern was that he was available to work with the trustee on 18 all the different issues in this case. 19 There's been a lot of litigation. The Court 20 alluded to that in the last portion we heard. He also went 21 to long length -- I'm not trying to pat myself on the back

but he went to a long part of -- he talked about thanking me for being a part of the case. He talked about prior cases I worked on with the Court, and he -- he mentioned how he thought that the debtor had shown -- there had been a

22

23

24

25

1.3

rehabilitative affect on the debtor by what had happened in the case in the last four weeks.

Up to the point where I came in the case, I would describe the bankruptcy as a -- a train wreck. And I say that -- I don't say that lightly. The debtor was obstructive, and he was not cooperative, and things did not -- were not done the way they should have been done.

And one of the things the Court went to great lengths to do was to mention how in the last four weeks things have changed. The debtor has been cooperative. How obviously this big term on this settlement that we worked out with the trustee was a really big deal for the case.

And -- and so -- the impression I got from the Court -- and, again, and I invite to Court to listen to it offline if the Court wants to. But it may give you indication that he saw light at the end of the tunnel as far as us working through the issues in this case.

And so when I took the case, originally, I was trying to become the creditors committee lawyer four or five months ago. That didn't happen. And so when I took this case, I told the Court -- in fact, I told the Court at this same hearing. I told the Court that our -- my goal here was to make it better. I wasn't going to make white picket fences, zebras, and butterflies. But I believe that working with the debtor we can turn things around and show -- and

1.3

bring evidence to the Court and help make things better for the creditors, which I believe makes things better for Mr. Pettit.

I believe there -- in -- in a way their interest is out line because if he can help bring money into the estate, if he can help clarify -- you know, there's \$260 million of claims, and they've identified 30 to 70 million that are real.

What often happens in these cases is kind of like a hurricane. A hurricane goes through, then all the looters go through. So you kind of get hit twice. You get hit by a hurricane. Then you get hit by people trying to rip everything off.

Same thing happens in big bankruptcy where there's not a lot of information. What happens is you have a lot of creditors. They see an advantage here, and they file a bunch of claims or excessive claims.

And really the only person, in my opinion, that's available to help to go through that is Mr. Pettit. And so I believe that working together -- I'm going through these hundreds of thousands of emails, researches, that we'll be able to bring value into the estate. And so -- and, again, I'm not giving a very good -- I'm trying not to put words in -- in Judge Gargotta's mouth. I have all respect in the world for him.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But he did say that he trusted me to do that. I -- he specifically used the word trust. And I think that's about it. Okay. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. MR. ALLEN: We have a second proffer. It's also from -- it was filed in the bankruptcy case. It's the disclosure of compensation for Mr. Smeberg along with the affidavit that we would proffer to the Court. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ALLEN: The -- the last is just a proffer that the same individual, the affidavit there, Theodore Formeia proffered that he would be willing to put up any collateral he has via a third-party custodian. He does live in Florida, though. But also helps secure an apartment or housing here in San Antonio. And that would be all that the -- all that we have other than argument, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. And I -- we do have the pretrial services report, which you both have. And I don't know that anybody has any corrections or comments or anything on what's contained in there that you can address, obviously, the substance of it in your argument. But any -anything that you would object to or that request.

UNKOWN SPEAKER: I've got it but I can barely hear it.

1 THE COURT: You could of -- requires a connection. 2 I'm not sure where that came from. 3 (Laughter.) MR. ALLEN: I thought it was Judge Gargotta. 4 5 THE COURT: Oh, that was Judge Gargotta? Okay. 6 you have anything else on the pretrial? 7 MR. ALMONTE: Not by way of witnesses, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And the pretrial report, nothing from 9 you? 10 MR. ALLEN: No, Your Honor. 11 MR. ALMONTE: Nothing on the pretrial. 12 THE COURT: All right. Then I will hear argument. 1.3 MR. ALMONTE: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: You may begin. 15 MR. ALMONTE: May it please the Court? 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MR. ALMONTE: Your Honor, this Court's heard a lot 18 of testimony today and a lot of evidence presented to you. 19 Just kind of going most recent in time -- I know it was proffered specifically that Theodore Formeia would be willing 20 21 to put up any kind of collateral or assets. 22 I just want to submit to the Court that that 23 individual is one of the individuals that Agent Tom Sweat, when he identified specific individuals, close friends, or 24 25 associates receiving funds of money from client money, that

1 would be one of the individuals that money was sent to. 2 So I'd questioned as to his ability to even prior 3 to claim funds on behalf of Pettit's behalf. But going into detention, Your Honor. It is the 4 5 government's position that there -- there -- there's nothing that this Court can do to reasonably assure that the -- not 6 7 only the appearance of Mr. Pettit, but more specifically I --8 I think it's -- it's two facets. We've got a -- a safety 9 issue with anger issue. 10 And you've also got the underlying obstruction of justice that -- that has gone on during the pendency of our 11 12 investigation. During the --1.3 THE COURT: And that's the part that I think is most -- anyway, well, obviously had other cases that involve 14 fraud and--15 16 MR. ALMONTE: Right. THE COURT: -- and schemes like this, and there are 17 often conditions that can be fashioned that sort of remove 18 19 the individual from the ability--20 MR. ALMONTE: Sure. 21 THE COURT: -- to engage in similar behavior and--22 MR. ALMONTE: Right. 23 THE COURT: -- restrictions on their ability to 24 engage in certain financial transactions. 25 MR. ALMONTE: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And those sorts of things. But here are the most compelling testimony, I guess for your side, was the first -- the first witness. And -- and everything that's happened sort of -- during the bankruptcy--MR. ALMONTE: Right. THE COURT: -- and the attempts to just continue on with surreptitious and dishonest and fraudulent behavior successfully, apparently. MR. ALMONTE: Right. THE COURT: And so that's -- that's what I am most concerned about. And I think their sort of response to that seems to be, well, there's been a shift though. I mean, Judge Gargotta did put him in jail from -- held him in contempt, and that it had the desired affect. It's what they're arguing that he's now being cooperative. That he's secured a lawyer who's, you know, working to be -- to cooperate with the trustee, and I -- and everything else. So, in order to recover money on behalf of victims, it's going to be, I guess, better if he's on the outside assisting and all of that. So, I'll just tee that up for you

to respond to.

MR. ALMONTE: Okay. Your -- Your Honor, I -- I agree with your assessment on -- on the troubling aspect of his continued fraud and his obstruction during the pendency of the bankruptcy. That was alarming for the government as

well. 1 2 I -- I do think that Mr. Pettit -- although I -- I 3 don't necessarily agree with the assessment that he's now become fully cooperative. That's part of the Court order 4 5 from the bankruptcy that he has to be fully cooperative. 6 He became cooperative after being held in contempt 7 and sat in jail for three months. 8 THE COURT: That is the point. 9 MR. ALMONTE: That is the point. That's -- that's the wake-up call. But, you know, I don't -- I don't think he 10 ever fully purged himself of that contempt because there's 11 12 still unknown questions that -- questions that have not been 1.3 answered. 14 But -- but it became to the point where, you know, 15 Judge Gargotta had to do that -- that balancing question of 16 -- of how long can I keep somebody in civil contempt before 17 it becomes criminal. 18 I think he -- he did that analysis. I -- I think 19 that's part of the record, and that's ultimately why he was 20 released. I think, in part, it certainly I'd be -- you know, 21 I have to recognize and acknowledge, you know, in part, his 22 -- his agreeing to give up those assets or relinquish his 23 rights certainly helped his cause in getting out. But what's concerning is it's not just the 24

continued fraud, it's the witness tampering. Calling

25

1 witnesses, telling them to say certain things. I -- I think 2 we -- there's a real fear that he'll continue to do that. 3 But -- but part of what -- for the Court's consideration, is there's also a significant amount of money 4 5 that Agent Sweat testified to that -- that's just missing. It's \$1.5 million in cash withdrawals that we don't 6 7 know where it's at. What he will do with those funds, if he'll continue to obstruct our investigation by moving those 8 9 funds around and not let us get to those funds that we're trying to search for is a real concern for the government. 10 I think as, Your Honor, had indicated before, you 11 12 -- you've seen numerous white collar, wire-fraud, fraud type 1.3 cases before this court. Often there are circumstances and 14 parameters and restrictions you can put on a defendant to 15 prevent this. I did white collar cases before and this is one that it shocks the conscious, his behavior post-knowing 16 17 there's -- there's an investigation, and during the 18 bankruptcy proceedings. 19 The trustee Eric Terry said he's never seen 20 anything like that. I don't think there's any real 21 indication that he's not going to continue with his fraud. 22 He's -- I think he's flippant in his behavior, 23 hasn't acknowledged or accepted responsibility. And he -- I

think he has the resources to continue to obstruct or not be

24

25

cooperative.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do think this Court, as far as I understand the argument, that he needs to be out in order to assist that -the trustee. I think there's two separate considerations, obviously, two separate venues here. You know, I think there are parameters -- when we talk about emails, certainly it would make it difficult probably to have him -- having to -you know, whoever -- I guess, Mr. Smeberg go to jail and review those emails. But I think there are -- there are mechanisms. Defendants in jail all the time review discovery and assist their lawyers in preparation. I don't think it's any different here. And so for those reasons, that's how I would kind of distinguish or separate and hopefully alleviate, maybe not, the Court's concern about helping the creditors. But I think that's a different consideration. think -- I think the more bigger concern or the bigger concern is the obstruction of justice that -- that he's done. That he's continued to do and that I believe he will -- he will do in the future. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ALMONTE: Thank you, Judge. MR. ALLEN: Just based on the Court's comments, I'll try to limit to -- to the Court's concerns here -- here on obstruction. I'd point out that Mr. Terry was one -- one

of the individuals involved in reaching that agreement just

1.3

last week in the bankruptcy case and presented that to the Court for the Court's permission to -- to try to handle that.

I understand we are talking about two different things here. The bankruptcy proceeding --

THE COURT: Well, yes. And the decision to continue to detain someone pursuant to a contempt order is obviously an entirely different analysis from the question of whether or not the government's met their burden on the Bail Reform Act.

MR. ALLEN: Correct, Judge. And so -- I -- but some of the -- those same issues were brought up during the contempt. Was he obstructing? Was he tampering with witnesses? Was he not -- was he being obstructive to that investigation? And part of the reasoning, I believe, was Judge Gargotta found that, okay, well, there has been a shift since Mr. Smeberg came on. And then the order presented that.

The giving up assets that the government is concerned that he has these assets, assets that he did not have to give up, he did in over a million dollars. And his homestead, which is why he doesn't have a residence anymore, and his IRA account.

He also -- as the pretrial services report notates, he surrendered his law license on May 24th of 2022. So he can no longer -- presumably, he can no longer do those types

of transactions. He can't have an IOLTA account.

1.3

Again, so that would be something different than when they -- when Agent Sweat was testifying things that were happening in April, that was prior to his surrender of his law license.

The -- if the Court wants to listen at the -- at the end, Judge Gargotta does purge the contempt proceedings. But, again, the -- the government goes into assets of 1.5 million, which Agent Sweat said he did determine at least some of it where it was spent.

I think we heard testimony on -- on Amazon and other -- other things. There's also testimony that either Mr. Pettit himself or the attorney for Mr. Pettit at the time disclosed to -- in the bankruptcy court where those assets have gone.

I understand Agent Sweat wasn't satisfied by that.

But that is in there. So, again, as far as witness

tampering, you know, no contact orders, agent -- Agent Sweat

has apparently all of the information for at least their five

alleged victims in the case. Obviously, that can be a

condition of the Court.

You know, as far as the other worries or concerns, he's already given up his passport. He can report in person, he can have a GPS monitor. They -- the pretrial services is recommending him to go to a halfway house first, which we

understand I think it's partly because of the -- the mental health concern.

And, in the meantime --

1.3

THE COURT: Well, also he doesn't have another residence.

MR. ALLEN: Correct. And, in the meantime, I'm pretty confident that we'll be able to -- to secure an apartment or some housing obviously with the approval of pretrial services ahead of time that we can get to them.

It states in the report there's no known community safety concerns. So, again, I think that there is a set of conditions that this Court can put on Mr. Pettit to ensure that he follows not only Judge Gargotta's orders and the orders that were just signed, but this Court's orders in not participating or giving any sort of appearance of impropriety of contacting any potential witness in the case for any nature even if he tells them, just tell the truth, whatever the case may be. This Court can order, obviously, no contact with anyone associated. And the government can give a list of -- of those individuals as well.

So we would ask that -- that Mr. Pettit be released on a set of circumstances and conditions that the Court feels appropriate to protect the community and also assure his appearance in court.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, this is not a typical

1.3

case, and I -- and base -- I know that we have pretrial's report, and we have pretrial's recommendation of detention.

But, of course, in this case pretrials not acting based on any information related to the charged offenses or anything that was proffered here today. That's -- that's really what goes to the, I guess, the dangerousness and the obstruction risks that are present in this case that make it different from -- from other types of cases as I mentioned.

And, I think, the sort of joint concerns that I have include just for Judge Gargotta to have gotten to the point to have entered multiple contempt orders and to actually order incarceration would have been, as the testimony suggested, on a record of extremely problematic behavior in terms of following Court orders, complying with obligations, doing what's supposed to be done, and acting in a way that, as I mentioned before, is surreptitious, dishonest, and continued to behave that way throughout the proceedings when in an effort to -- to continue to acquire assets, to attempt to influence witnesses.

This pattern of behavior against the backdrop of the scope of the actual underlying charged offenses and what was involved, the number of victims involved, the amount of money involved, the unaccounted for money that is out there, the fact that he has very -- he doesn't have a place to work right now, he doesn't have to live right now. And it -- all

```
of that together can suggest that detention is what is
1
2
     appropriate in this case. And the government has met its
 3
     burdens here.
               I will order detention, and we'll take a brief
 4
     recess before my next proceeding. Thank you.
 5
               THE COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
 6
 7
               (Adjournment.)
8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT)
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS)
3	I certify that the foregoing is a correct
4	transcript from the AUDIO RECORDING of proceedings in the
5	above-entitled matter.
6	I further certify that the transcript fees and
7	format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the
8	Judicial Conference of the United States.
9	Date signed: December 3rd, 2023.
10	/s/ Letícia Lucía Ornelas
11	United States Court Reporter 262 West Nueva Street, Room 1-400
12	San Antonio, Texas 78207 (210) 244-5039
13	(210) 211 3033
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	